# **EXHIBIT J FILED UNDER SEAL**

# Appendix 9

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2700-10 Filed 03/07/19 Page 3 of 5

### Message

From:

Drew Bagnell [dbagnell@uberatc.com]

Sent:

3/19/2016 1:16:34 PM

To:

John Bares [bares@uberatc.com]; Brian Zajac [bzajac@uberatc.com]

Subject:

Re: NewCo LIDAR specs

There absolutely are; we pointed this out a lot in Dragonfly design.

## Options:

- 1) Continue down current path which will rely on camera to pull those out and have a backup ladar that is strictly better and can handle all our situations for even higher speed. Not enough density for understanding things far away, but enough to detect that they are there.
- 2) Improve our ladar quality at very narrow FOV, far range dramatically-- even to even detect objects against clutter; but have no fallback if our Plan A sputters, and a worrisomely sparse pattern elsewhere.

I think we need to bang smart heads against this and decide; there are definite pros and cons of each-- it's not a slam dunk, but it's sure better then not having options!

--d

On Sat, Mar 19, 2016 at 6:06 AM John Bares < bares@uberatc.com > wrote:

FWIW Drew. My California guy says that

I have some

diagrams to share.

From: Brian Zajac [mailto:bzajac@uberatc.com]

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 8:07 AM

To: Drew Bagnell
Cc: John Bares

Subject: Re: NewCo LIDAR specs

Sounds good. Thanks for the update, Drew.

**John, can you please send us the sanitized specifications for both LIDAR?** I'd like to get Pete going on layout and performance simulation ASAP so that we can validate and steer their design requirements. Thanks!

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



UBER00018068

- BZ		
On Frì, Mar 18, 2016 at 11:00 AM, Dre	ew Bagnell < <u>dbagnell@uberatc.com</u>	> wrote:
Brian,		
JB and I had a short, but really good, ogood news is that all of the work right		rely sees the value in moving the 360 up the
I think we all sit down and make this conversation.	decision together in a few weeks ba	sed on the trade-offs based on the
d		
On Tue, Mar 15, 2016 at 3:21 PM, Bria	an Zajac < <u>bzajac@uberatc.com</u> > wro	Ote:
Hì John,		
	eptual layout and evaluation of thei	ribution to a small "need to know" group of the respected performance against our use cases ect early development.
Also, Drew and I were wondering if th changed. Would we rather have a Ve Uber rides? Just a thought.		s. 360 LIDAR makes sense or can be nables 45 mph operation and almost 50% of all
Thanks!		
IIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS	S' EYES ONLY	UBER000180

- BZ

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

UBER00018070